



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/854,149	05/11/2001	Steven Weil	MS1-747US	6784

22801 7590 06/18/2007  
LEE & HAYES PLLC  
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500  
SPOKANE, WA 99201

EXAMINER
----------

RIES, LAURIE ANNE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2176

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

06/18/2007

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lhptoms@leehayes.com

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/854,149

Applicant(s)

WEIL ET AL.

Examiner

Laurie Ries

Art Unit

2176

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Laurie Ries, Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Emmanuel Rivera, Applicant's Representative.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Smith.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 6/11/07  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: This Interview Summary form is to document a voicemail message left for Attorney Emmanuel Rivera on Monday, 11 June 2007. The Examiner is canceling Applicant's requested interview scheduled on 18 June 2007. The Examiner had expected an Interview Agenda including proposed amendments to the claims. The Interview Agenda received by the Examiner on Thursday, 7 June 2007 included no claim amendments and stated that the issue to be discussed was the teaching of a fixed digital document in the Smith reference. An interview conducted previously by Examiner Ries and Primary Examiner Bashore on 16 October 2006 covered the issue of a fixed digital document in the Smith reference. Additionally, the argument regarding the teaching of a fixed digital document in the Smith reference was presented to the Office in an Amendment filed by Applicant on 11 November 2006, and the Office's response to this issue is included on the record in the "Response to Arguments" section of the Final rejection filed 24 January 2007 (See Final rejection, page 15, paragraph 18). The Examiner feels that an interview to review this issue a third time would not serve to further prosecution in this case and therefore cancels the interview previously scheduled for Monday, 18 June 2007 (See MPEP 713.09). The Examiner advises that the Applicant review the Response to Arguments section of the Final office action filed 24 January 2007 for the Office's position on the teaching of a fixed digital document in the Smith reference.